Academic Investigations
The Tenth of May, 2022
Unbiased Investigative Report

The Logical Society

Cite this: DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6536389

It Is Time for Academics to Get a Wake-Up Call to Reality. We Absolutely Did Not Land on the Moon | The Reason: NASA was to be Cut In 1968.

Andrew Lehti [1]

Received 4/1/22
Accepted 5/2/22

We all must at times admit that something we believed in, was never true to begin with, and the harsh reality can be hard to deal with; but if we do not deal with it, our minds will degenerate. I don't have a belief interference to the extent that most people do, and still this took a day or so to recover after discovering this because it was so ingrained within my psyche. I had simply assumed we had landed on the moon based on logic. I analyzed the footage; and suddenly I realized I never have before. What caused this delusion? People are beginning to recognize this sad reality:

I lost around 50,000 followers since Twitter began banning bots. I'm now sitting at around 90 followers. Every single one of my mutuals I've interacted with in the past 8 years have been bots and I never even realized.
2:17 PM · 4/26/22 · Twitter for Android

The reality. It is why you saw so many tweet out that they had gained followers.

Investigative Findings

I discovered that there was always a bot in the background of social media ready to call you insane, discredit you, and recite your logic backwards. Do you know why they

[1] *The Logical Society*
Open for Additional Peer- Review.
E-mail: echo@gitresearch.org

© The Logical Society 2022

must perform this task? Because otherwise it would shatter the illusion, but there are no comments here. Nothing that these bots can do. Who am *I? I am a software engineer; I nearly aced a cognitive mathematics exam and scored higher than 80,000 others, 90% of whom failed.* I used logic to prove that we had landed on the moon, but to my dismay, we had not.

No. I take no pleasure in this fact. For the sake of the **nation's sanity,** I urge you to view the footage for yourself.

Fig. 1 Via NASA

Clearly, something upsets these individuals.



Fig. 2 EMF Extraction of the Sun.

The above is the sun. The sun has appeared as such in every single photo I have processed. There is not one that is different. I want you to keep an image of what it looks like within your mind. When I used it to extract black holes, it was met with higher praise, and I still get a private message daily on the method.

That was until I discovered something in NASA's photographs. The colors of the Earth are perfectly natural. The remainder of the image is not. It is compatible with fluorescent light. The image is blatantly altered. Since this was altered in the 1960s, it is not surprising that the flaws would eventually be discovered.



Fig. 3 EMF Extraction of Black Hole.



Fig. 4 EMF Extraction | Moon Lander and Earth via NASA

I began to be heavily harassed by bots. Wherever I posted my EMF extraction method, I received the same feedback. "This is insignificant. You have just increased the saturation." I have never heard this before. Suddenly, anytime I post it now. Random users automatically discredit the technique.

Examination.

I analyzed the footage further and observed that the ship's tin foil was unnaturally large; suddenly a photo appeared very action figure-ish. I reexamined the video and determined that it was stop-motion. The flag's wire mesh is a

© The Logical Society 2022

dead giveaway. Knowing it's an action figure provides a sudden improvement in comprehension.

Fig. 5 On the surface of the rock, there is a smudge, but there is no disturbance below.

Fig. 6 No forensic analysis is required. Visually one can see that the hills are not real. One can see the chopped horizon, and much to our dismay, this astronaut is being held up with putty.

Analysis of the Sun.

However, it is somewhat sad. Each time I attempted to post a poll from my profile, bots devalued it so that no one else could see it. I then located a small community of a certain degree, and posted from an anonymous account, and inquired as to what they thought of my miniatures. No one suspected that the photographs were of the actual moon

Fig. 7 The action figure held up by clay, via NASA



Fig. 8 Do you recall the appearance of the sun from my previous explanation? This is the exact pattern that artificial light generates. This particular image has the outline of a light bulb.

landing. This information is not pertinent. It was mildly amusing to observe what people thought in the absence of social pressure.


85
Does my miniature recreation moon landing scene look real enough?
And I know some do and don't believe in it, so I will separate the questions.
M = Yes, the moon landing was real.
NM = No, it was faked.
https://www.reddit.com/r/deprogrammer/comments/ueyvh3/d/
2590 votes
80 M: very realistic.
1331 M: clearly miniatures.
873 M: everything except the hills.
78 NM: very realistic.
76 NM: clearly miniatures.
152 NM: everything except the hills.
2 day 20 hours left
63 Comments
Share
Save
Post Insights
Only you and mods of this community can see this
9.4k Total Views
97% Upvote Rate
96 Community Karma
15 Total Shares

Fig. 9 The ability to spot miniatures.

I wanted to ensure that we were on the same page. Did you notice which four men with moral conscience? If not, they are listed below. →

Obvious statements include, "Yes, but traveling to the moon is easier than faking it." No. It is not the case. Using the logical method, I determined that the moon rests within the Earth's field. Meaning that landing on the moon is identical to landing an RC helicopter on a swinging ball and chain.

You can find out more about that here: https://zenodo.org/record/6529722

I do not know if the majority of intellectuals have lost their ability to see, but one should have sufficient experience to comprehend variations in structure size. Similar to a rock. A pebble-sized rock would never be as large as a mountain. **The texture, hue, and particle size of various scales vary.**

Visually, the structural integrity of this lunar landing appears to be imminently compromised. The Chinese attempted to claim that they too had landed on the moon. They did not This flag has five different light sources, the flag itself is not real, the background is a composite of three photos, and the pole was added to the photo.

Fig. 10 These individuals possess moral integrity. I completely comprehend why they could not come forward. It would result in the termination of every single one of their coworkers.



Fig. 11 This model traveled 230,000 miles, yet it appears less wrinkled than the model below, which is only the size of a man's shoulder.



Fig. 12 Actual size in studio.

© The Logical Society 2022



Fig. 13 Comparison of the lunar with a human sized model craft at NASA

Fig. 14 Examination of the Foil of the Lunar.



Fig. 15 The Chinese Flag composite of 3D and real images.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion. We're done with this. The moon conspirators were right. Stop this nonsense and think for yourself. Humans are not infallible. **That's the lesson. Why is it so hard to believe humans are wrong?** Humans must begin to have humility. **This conspiracy must end, but it won't until you realize that you must aid us, and not them. Stop talking nonsense and share this on social media and overload these bots. Use it as a joke. Share it with sincerity. Simply encourage people to read it. If this does not come from scientists, it will come from me, and so far, it has begun to build momentum.**

4. Hypothetical Analysis

If NASA has been a master of manipulation and propaganda since the 1960's. And since NASA has a lot of resources and needed to make a deal with the government to stay afloat. And since no one would suspect NASA. **Would NASA not be the best agency to gaslight their own citizens?** They invented conspiracy theories to shut down anyone that spoke out, and during my research, it was found that the bot users followed NASA employees and [they them], and were the ones spreading the severely crazy conspiracy rumors. Humans get involved in stories and if they do not know what to make of the world at that given point, they will go along with it, but only for so long. You must ask yourself why the moon landing is so persistent while all others have faded. I know you're smarter than what these bots make you appear to be.

References

1 A. Lehti, 2022, https://andylehti.medium.com/i-concluded-my-investigation-of-the-bots-f070d53c6a67.

2 2022, https://andylehti.medium.com/this-is-not-only-bad-but-also-confirmation-of-a-nightmare-6adbf665274e.

3 2022, https://andylehti.medium.com/misinformation-is-coming-from-inside-the-house-subreddit-and-facebook-science-groups-reject-716e77363e62.

4 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6529722.

5 P. Archive and Apollo, 2021, https://www.flickr.com/photos/projectapolloarchive/51357005462/.

Author biography

Andrew Lehti Author: Framework of the Universe: The Unifying Theory of Matter.

© The Logical Society 2022